Knowledge of Avian Influenza (H5N1) among Poultry Workers, Hong Kong, China

Jean H. Kim, Fung Kuk Lo, Ka Kin Cheuk, Ming Sum Kwong, William B. Goggins, Yan Shan Cai, Shui Shan Lee, and Sian Griffiths

In 2009, a cross-sectional survey of 360 poultry workers in Hong Kong, China, showed that workers had inadequate levels of avian influenza (H5N1) risk knowledge, preventive behavior, and outbreak preparedness. The main barriers to preventive practices were low perceived benefits and interference with work. Poultry workers require occupation-specific health promotion.

In 1997, a zoonosis in humans caused by a highly lethal strain of avian influenza virus (H5N1) was reported in Hong Kong. Live-poultry markets were the source of this outbreak (1). As one of the world's most densely populated regions (16,000 persons/mile² [>6,300 persons/km²]) (2), Hong Kong is a city at high risk for a large-scale outbreak of avian influenza caused by live poultry in large-volume wholesale markets and within neighborhood wet markets (open food stall markets).

Because members of the average household in Hong Kong shop in wet markets on a habitual basis, these markets are located in the most densely populated areas (Figure) and are commonly multistory complexes or in basement levels of shopping centers. Because poultry workers are a potential bridge population (3,4), the government has instigated voluntary avian influenza training since 2001 that reviews regulations for workplace disinfection, waste disposal, poultry storage, and personal hygiene measures (5,6).

Despite occupational risk for exposure to avian influenza (7,8), there have been few studies of poultry workers (8-12). Most studies were conducted in rural settings in developing countries (9-12), but findings cannot be readily extrapolated to cities such as Hong Kong because of differences in food-handling practices and

Author affiliations: The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, People's Republic of China (J.H. Kim, F.K. Lo, M.S. Kwong, W.B. Goggins, Y.S. Cai, S.S. Lee, S. Griffiths); and University of Oxford, Oxford, UK (K.K. Cheuk)

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1712.110321

occupational settings. Knowledge, perceptions, and work practices of live-poultry workers in Hong Kong have not been examined. Therefore, a survey of these workers is timely and warranted, given confirmed persistence of avian influenza in Asia. (13)

The Study

An anonymous, cross-sectional survey was conducted during June–November 2009. Interviewers approached 132 licensed live-poultry retail businesses in wet markets and 23 wholesale establishments. The final sample was 360 poultry workers (194 retailers and 166 wholesalers; response rate 68.1%).

Respondents were asked about their demographics, past month's work and preventive behavior, and avian influenza–related knowledge on the basis of a World Health Organization factsheet (14). We asked perception questions based on the Health Belief Model and the likelihood of adopting certain behavior patterns in the event of a local bird-to-bird or bird-to-human outbreak of avian influenza.

Summative scores were computed for avian influenza-related knowledge, current preventive behavior patterns, outbreak preparedness, and various perception domains. Higher scores reflected more beneficial levels of each domain. Unconditional multilevel regression indicated no evidence of clustering effect by poultry market. Standard multivariable linear regression was conducted by using SAS version 9.1.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) with knowledge, practice, and preparedness scores as outcomes and potential predictors showing p<0.25 in unadjusted analyses as input variables. Distribution of standardized residuals and their association with predicted values were examined to assess model assumptions.

Most (208, 60.1%) respondents were men 35–54 years of age, of whom 192 (55.3%) had worked a mean of 16.1 years in the poultry industry. Respondents showed low mean summative scores for knowledge of avian influenza (online Appendix Table 1, wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/17/12/11-0321-TA1.htm). Nearly two thirds (232, 64.1%) of poultry workers reported that avian influenza virus (H5N1) infects wild birds, but fewer workers reported that this virus could infect live poultry (212, 60.1%), domesticated birds (159, 44.8%), or humans (178, 50.0%).

A total of 242 (69.1%) workers reported that consuming undercooked poultry could transmit the virus, and 210 (59.7%) knew that infection could result from touching bird feces. For other transmission routes, awareness was lower, ranging from 14.0% (48) for eating undercooked eggs to 29.1% (102) for slaughtering poultry.

Ninety-six (27.4%) workers were unsure whether avian influenza virus (H5N1) infection had occurred in humans in Hong Kong, 198 (58%) incorrectly believed that nearly everyone survives this infection, and 110 (32.8%)

incorrectly believed that a human vaccine for avian influenza was available. Most (208, 89.9%) respondents were familiar with influenza-like symptoms of avian influenza virus (H5N1) infection such as fever, but fewer workers were aware of respiratory and gastrointestinal symptoms of virus infection.

The Internet and other sources (e.g., health talks) of information about avian influenza were strong independent predictors of greater knowledge. However, training did not result in higher knowledge levels.

Poultry workers reported low-to-moderate levels of compliance with hand hygiene and other preventive measures (ranging from 7.3% [36] using eye protection to 65.2% [245] using handwashing with soap after slaughtering poultry). Working in the poultry industry ≥10 years, lower perceived barriers to preventive behavior, and retail poultry work were independent predictors of higher preventive behavior scores.

With regard to avian influenza–related perceptions, lack of training (277, 83.4%) and the view that compliance with all infection regulations is difficult during peak hours (218, 64.9%) were the most frequently cited barriers to adoption of preventive behavior. A total of 154 (46.4%) workers believed that face masks reduced business, and 153 (46.1%) believed that vaccination was expensive.

Low anxiety about illness was reported by 242 (76.6%) respondents. In the event of a local outbreak, workers expressed various levels of acceptance for precautionary actions, ranging from 15.8% (56) for reducing work hours to 82.4% (290) for seeking medical care for influenza-like symptoms. Ninety-six (27.4%) respondents anticipated taking oseltamivir. Greater perceived benefit of preventive behavior was the strongest independent predictor of higher preparedness scores (online Appendix Table 2, wwwnc.cdc. gov/EID/article/17/12/11-0321-TA2.htm).

Conclusions

Similar to other regions (8–11), poultry workers in Hong Kong showed low risk perceptions for avian influenza, inadequate knowledge, and a wide range of compliance with preventive measures. Because training (6) was not associated with overall preventive behavior or preparedness, there may be an unmet need for occupation-specific health information.

Higher levels of knowledge demonstrated by workers who accessed health information sources (e.g., Internet) that provide detailed information suggest that comprehensive, occupation-relevant information should be more widely accessible. However, occupational practices of animal workers might not be amenable to change solely on the basis of improvements in knowledge. Only 129 (42.1%) respondents reported that poultry workers could realistically adhere to all government guidelines (6). Interference with work, high cost, and reduction of business were repeatedly cited as impediments to the adoption of preventive behavior. Even in the event of local outbreaks of avian influenza, most workers were not amenable to actions having adverse economic effects such as reducing work hours. Animal workers are thereby unlikely to widely adopt preventive behavior if these measures conflict with their economic interests.

Despite the ongoing government regulations regarding avian influenza in Hong Kong (6), a complete ban on live poultry is unrealistic because of the culturally entrenched demand for fresh poultry. Increasing knowledge and risk perceptions while simultaneously reducing occupational barriers to preventive behavior thereby continues to be the cornerstone of effective zoonotic infection control among animal workers.

Implications of these findings extend to other poultryborne pathogens, such as *Campylobacter* spp. and

Figure. Location of live poultry wet markets (open food stall markets) in relation to population density, Hong Kong, China, June–November, 2009.

Salmonella spp., which share common preventive measures. Close adherence to workplace measures will likely reduce outbreak risk for other poultry-borne diseases. Therefore, a framework for greater integration of risk management strategies and worker education of these poultry-borne infections tailored to the local context is worthwhile and cost-effective.

In the spirit of the One Health Commission, which calls for an integrated, interdisciplinary approach to human–veterinary–environmental health challenges (15), the fight against global pandemics, such as those of avian influenza virus (H5N1), necessitates greater dialogue and collaborative leadership between governments and livestock industries. Development of realistic occupational safety measures is an ongoing challenge for national governments.

Acknowledgments

We thank the poultry workers for participating in the study and Terry Wong for assisting with preliminary data collection.

This study was supported by the Hong Kong Research Fund for the Control of Infectious Diseases.

Dr Kim is a research scientist in the School of Public Health and Primary Care at The Chinese University of Hong Kong. Her research interests are biomedical and social epidemiology.

References

- World Health Organization. Confirmed human cases of avian influenza A (H5N1), September 6, 2007 [cited 2007 Oct 10]. http://www.who.int/csr/disease/avian_influenza/country/en/index.html
- Census and Statistics Department, The Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region. Key statistics of the 2006 population census. Hong Kong: The Government; 2006.
- Webster RG. Wet markets: a continuing source of severe acute respiratory syndrome and influenza? Lancet. 2004;363:234–6. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(03)15329-9

- Bridges CB, Lim L, Hu-Primmer J, Sims L, Fukuda K, Mak KH, et al. Risk of influenza A (H5N1) infection among poultry workers, Hong Kong, 1997–1998. J Infect Dis. 2002;185:1005–10. doi:10.1086/340044
- Hong Kong Food and Environmental Hygiene Department. Prevention of Avian influenza, February 3, 2004 [cited 2011 Jun 1]. http://www.fehd.gov.hk/english/safefood/avian flu/guide.html
- Hong Kong Food and Environmental Hygiene Department. Training for prevention of avian influenza.[in Chinese], June 11, 2006 [cited 2011 Jun 1]. http://www.info.gov.hk/gia/general/ 200106/11/0611240.htm
- Kung NY, Morris RS, Perkins NR, Sims LD, Ellis TM, Bissett L, et al. Risk for infection with highly pathogenic influenza A virus (H5N1) in chickens, Hong Kong, 2002. Emerg Infect Dis. 2007;13:412–8. doi:10.3201/eid1303.060365
- Mounts AW, Kwong H, Izurieta HS, Ho Y, Au T, Lee M, et al. Case-control study of risk factors for avian influenza A (H5N1) disease, Hong Kong, 1997. J Infect Dis. 1999;180:505–8. doi:10.1086/314903
- Abbate R, Di Giuseppe G, Marinelli P, Angelillo IF. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices of avian influenza, poultry workers, Italy. Emerg Infect Dis. 2006;12:1762–5.
- Olsen S, Laosiritaworn Y, Pattanasin S, Prapasiri P, Dowel S. Poultry-handling practices during avian influenza outbreak, Thailand. Emerg Infect Dis. 2005;11:1601–3.
- Leslie T, Billaud J, Mofleh J, Mustafa L, Yingst S. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices regarding avian influenza (H5N1), Afghanistan. Emerg Infect Dis. 2008;14:1459–61. doi:10.3201/eid1409.071382
- Fasina FO, Bisschop SPR, Ibironke AA, Meseko CA. Avian influenza risk perception among poultry workers, Nigeria. Emerg Infect Dis. 2009;15:616–7. doi:10.3201/eid1504.070159
- Indriani R, Samaan G, Gultom A, Loth L, Indryani S, Adjid R, et al. Environmental sampling for avian influenza virus A (H5N1) in livebird markets, Indonesia. Emerg Infect Dis. 2010;16:1889–95.
- World Health Organization. Avian influenza ("bird flu"): fact sheet, February 2006 [cited 2009 Aug 10]. http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/avian influenza/en/index.html#birds
- One Health Commission, 2009 [cited 2011 Mar 29]. http://www.onehealthcommission.org/summit.html

Address for correspondence: Fung Kuk Lo, School of Public Health and Primary Care, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Rm 509, Hong Kong, People's Republic of China; email: fungkuklo@gmail.com

The Public Health Image Library (PHIL)



The Public Health Image Library (PHIL), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, contains thousands of public health-related images, including high-resolution (print quality) photographs, illustrations, and videos.

PHIL collections illustrate current events and articles, supply visual content for health promotion brochures, document the effects of disease, and enhance instructional media.

PHIL Images, accessible to PC and Macintosh users, are in the public domain and available without charge.

Visit PHIL at http://phil.cdc.gov/phil.